U.S. ARMY | DA ODCBPER | PERTEC | MANPRINT | LINKS 1998 PRACTITIONER OF THE YEAR NOMINATION FORMS 1999 SYMPOSIUM

<u>Pe</u>edback: LTC Russ Poling





Human Factors Engineering Program

Army Regulation 602-1

Soldier-Materiel Systems



Headquarters

Department of the Army Washington, DC 8 February 1991



AR 602-1

Human Factors Engineering Program

This revision--

Implements policies and procedures contained in the revision of AR 602-2.

Clarifies the relationship between the human factors engineering program and the MANPRINT program (para 1-5).

Assigns responsibilities to program executive officers and project or product managers (chap 2).

Redefines HQDA responsibilities to reflect the reorganization of the DA Staff (chap 2).

Replaces product improvement section with material change management (Para 3-9).

Redefines the Human Factors Engineering Assessment (app B).

Headquarters

Department of the Army Washington, DC 8 February 1991

Soldier-Materiel Systems

*Army Regulation 602-1

Effective 8 March 1991

Human Factors Engineering Program

By Order of the Secretary of the Army:

CARL E. VUONO

General, United States Army

Chief of Staff

Official:

MILTON H. HAMILTON

Administrative Assistant to the Secretary of the Army

History. This UPDATE printing publishes a revision of this publication. Because the publication has been extensively revised, the changed portlons have not been highlighted.

Summary. This regulation, which covers policies and procedures for human factors engineering (HFE) in the Department of the Army (DA), has been revised. The revisions are responsive to:

- a. Changes in material acquisition policies and procedures that influence the process of integrating the soldier and the material being acquired into a cost-effective system.
- b. Policies and procedures specified in AR 602-2, Manpower and Personnel Integration (MANPRINT) in the Materiel Acquisition Process (MAP).
- c. DA emphasis on front-end planning, nondevelopmental item (NDI) acquisition, and material change management.

Applicability. This regulation applies to the Active Army, the Army National Guard, and the U.S. Army Reserve.

Internal control systems. This regulation is subject to the requirements of AR 11-2. It contains internal control provisions but does not contain checklists for conducting internal control reviews. These checklists are being developed and will be published at a later date.

Supplementation. Supplementation of this regulation and establishment of command and local forms are prohibited without prior approval of HQDA, (DAPE-MRP), WASH DC 20310-0300.

Interim changes. Interim changes to this regulation are not official unless they are authenticated by the Administrative Assistant to the Secretary of the Army. Users will destroy interim changes on their expiration dates unless sooner superseded or rescinded.

Suggested improvements. The proponent agency of this regulation is the Office of the Deputy Chief of Staff for Personnel (ODCSPER). Users are invited to send comments and suggested improvements on DA Form 2028 (Recommended Changes to Publications and Blank Forms) directly to HQDA (DAPE-MRP), WASH DC 20310-0300.

Distribution. Distribution of this publication is made in accordance with the requirements on DA Form 12-09-E, block number 3628, intended for command level D for Active Army, Army National Guard, and U.S. Army Reserve.

Contents (Listed by paragraph number)

Chapter 1

Introduction

Purpose - 1-1

References - 1-2

Explanation of abbreviations and terms - 1-3

The HFE Program - 1-4

Policies - 1-5

Objectives - 1-6

Scope - 1-7

Chapter 2

Responsibilities

Section I

HQDA Elements

Assistant Secretary of the Army for Research, Development, and Acquisition (ASARDA) - 2-1

Director of Information Systems for Command, Control, Communications, and Computers (DISC4) - 2-2

Deputy Chief of Staff for Personnel (DCSPER) - 2-3

Deputy Chief of Staff for Logistics (DCSLOG) - 2-4

Deputy Chief of Staff for Operations and Plans (DCSOPS) - 2-5

Deputy Chief of Staff for Intelligence (DCSINT) - 2-6

The Surgeon General (TSG) - 2-7

Section H

Commanders of Major Army Commands

Commanding General, U.S. Army Materiel Command (CG, AMC) - 2-8

Commanding General, U.S. Army Training and Doctrine Command (CG, TRADOC) - 2-9

Section III

Heads of Other Army Elements

Commanding General, U.S. Army Operational Test and Evaluation Agency (CG, OTEA) - 2-10

Director of Army Safety (DASAF) - 2-1! Heads of other Army agencies and major commands assigned responsibilities for development of material items - 2-12

Section IV

Program Executive Officers, Separate

Program Managers, and Project or Product Managers

Program executive officers and separate program managers - 2-13

Project or product managers - 2-14

Chapter 3

HFE Program in Life Cycle System Management of Army Materiel

Introduction - 3-1

HFE in the preconcept exploration phase - 3-2

HPE in the concept exploration phase - 3-3 HFE in the concept demonstration and validation phase - 3--4

HFE in the full-scale development phase - 3-5

HFE in the full-rate production and initial deployment phase - 3-6

HFE in NDIS acquisition - 3-7

HFE in materiel change management - 3-8

HFE in the ILS process - 3-9

Appendix A. References

Glossary

Index

*This regulation supersedes AR 602 1, 15 February 1983.

Chapter 1

Introduction

1-1. Purpose

This regulation-

- a. Establishes policies, procedures, requirements, and assigns responsibilities for human factors engineering (HFE) in the Department of the Army (DA).
- b. Emphasizes front-end planning and soldler performance database development to facilitate integrating human factors engineering into the Army material system acquisition process, thereby reducing the impact on manpower, personnel, and training resources, while reflecting system safety and health hazard constraints.
- 1-2, References

Required and related publications are listed in appendix A.

1-3. Explanation of abbreviations and terms

Appreviations and special terms used in this regulation are explained in the glossary.

1-4. The HFE Program

This program-

- a. Is a comprehensive management and technical effort to generate and apply human factors engineering data and principles to the material development and acquisition process for the purpose of enhancing soldier-material system performance.
- b. Is applied early in the process for the Concept Based Requirements System (CBRS).
- c. Provides inputs to special studies (see AR 5-5), Manpower and Personnel Integration (MANPRINT) reviews, assessments, plans, and requirements.
- d. Identifies potential issues, concerns, and voids in the HFE database.
- e. Provides a mechanism in the materiel acquisition process for the following:
- (1) Addressing human performance requirements, human engineering design criteria and program requirements during system definition, design, development, and evaluation.
- (2) Feeding field evaluation data back into the soldier performance database for the design of next generation equipment.
- f. Includes, but is not limited to, the following activities:
- (1) Determining and evaluating requirements for overall system performance based upon capabilities and limitations of soldier performance.
- (2) Developing and applying HFE methodologies to analyze human factors engineering, human performance, and workload requirements in an effective, integrated, and coordinated manner.
- (3) Developing, maintaining, and using human factors databases.
- (4) Developing design guidelines and standards that will enhance
- soldier-materiel interaction within the established performance limits, training time, soldier aptitudes and skills; and cognitive, physical, and physiological tolerance limits.
- (5) Defining, developing, or selecting those soldier-material interface characteristics that enhance human performance, reduce or eliminate safety and health hazards, and reduce demands and costs for personnel, skills, and training.
- (6) Developing and evaluating operator and maintainer task procedures; for example, interaction of crew members to ensure effective and efficient work patterns and workload, to support design tradeoff and

- decision efforts, and to provide such information for technical publications, manuals, and training media preparation.
- (7) Determining human performance and workload requirements for new systems and materiel-changed systems.
- (8) Evaluating system performance as a function of soldier material interface and human performance.
- (9) Applying, as appropriate, HFE methodologies to developmental items, nondevelopmental items (NDI), and materiel-changed systems.
- (10) Conducting research required to resolve HFE problems encountered in material development programs

1-5. Policies

- a. HFE is a management and technical effort to integrate the human element into the design of systems. HFE is concerned with mission effectiveness workload, human performance, skills, number of personnel, safety and health hazards, training, and other related peopletype implications, as they apply to the design of the soldier material interface, safety, training, selection, manning, and related efforts.
- b. HFE will be used to integrate materiel development with personnel capabilities and limitations during all phases of the life cycle of materiel systems (to include developmental and nondevelopmental acquisitions, as well as materiel change) and nonstandard commercial equipment procurement by major Army commands (MACOMS) and Field Operating Agencies (FOAs). HFE principles, methods, and techniques will be applied to systems, equipment, and facilities, including support equipment, software, training equipment and devices, and skill performance aids.
- c. HFE will be accorded an equal priority with other system engineering activities during the material acquisition process to ensure effective soldier-material operational interface.
- d. HFE, biomedical, and behavioral research will be conducted to address gaps that may develop in the HFE database or when novel human factors problems are identified in Army development programs (see AR 70-8).
- e. Human factors engineering assessments (HFEAs) will be initiated 6 months prior to major milestones for all materiel acquisitions (developmental, nondevelopmental, and materiel change). No waivers will be granted. Assessment scope will depend on the system under study.
- f. HFE is one of six MANPRINT domains (see AR 602-2) and will interface with the MANPRINT Joint Working Group (MJWG) and other MANPRINT domains to produce tradeoffs and MANPRINT positions for acquisition process decision making.

1-6. Objectives

The objectives of the HFE program are to-

- a. Influence soldier-materiel system design to achieve total system performance requirements.
- b. Ensure that Army materiel systems, and concepts for their employment, are consistent with the capabilities and limitations of the fully equipped soldier to safely operate, maintain, supply, and transport the materiel in its operational environment consistent with tactical requirements and logistic capabilities.
- c. Influence total life cycle costs of personnel resources and training resources-
- (1) During the conceptual phases for each alternative system considered.
- (2) During subsequent acquisition phases for the selected system.
- d. Ensure that equipment designs and operational concepts are compatible with the capabilities and limitations of the operators, maintainers, and supporters as defined by the target audience description (TAD) in the System MANPRINT Management Plan (SMMP). (See AR 602-2.)
- e. Develop an HFE database of performance-indexed soldier characteristics; for example, target audience descriptors indexed to soldier performance capabilities. The database will provide a method for translating TADs to performance capabilities that are usable as design inputs.
- f. Define and develop soldier-unit performance matrices and measures of effectiveness (MOE) (based on the performance-indexed soldier characteristics database) for application to establishing and determining total system performance.
- 1-7. Scope

This regulation applies to Headquarters, Department of the Army (HQDA), all Army agencies, and major field commands with responsibilities for-

- a. Mission area analysis.
- b. Organization and doctrine.
- e. Tactics and concept.
- d. Materiel requirements.
- e. Research, development, test, and evaluation of materiel.
- f. Production and procurement of material systems.
- g. Management of personnel resources.
- h. Development of personnel resources.
- i. System safety engineering.

- j. Health hazard assessment.
- k. Integrated logistic support.
- 1. System reliability, availability, and maintainability engineering.
- m. Development of training devices.
- n. Human factors engineering.

Chapter 2

Responsibilities

Section I

HQDA Elements

2-1. Assistant Secretary of the Army for Research, Development, and Acquisition (ASARDA)

The ASARDA will-

- a. Include research, development, test, and evaluation (RDTE) funds for IIFE in the annual submission of the Program 6 budget.
- b. Establish Army policy and guidance to ensure proper and systematic application of HFE throughout the material development and acquisition process.
- e. Verify, in coordination with HQDA, Office of the Deputy Chief of Staff for Personnel (ODCSPER), the application of IIFE requirements before production of material.
- d. Ensure, in coordination with HQDA, ODCSPER, application of HPE requirements in system modification or material change actions.
- 2-2. Director of Information Systems for Command, Control, Communications, and Computers (DISC4)

The DISC4 will-

- a. Establish policy and guidance to integrate HFE considerations into the preparation of requirements documents and the development and acquisition of information management systems.
- b. Ensure the application of HFE methodologies, design, and performance requirements to hardware and software development, modification, and acquisition programs under the Information Mission Area of responsibility.
- c. Verify, in coordination with HQDA, ODCSPER, the application of HEE requirements before production of material or information systems.

- d. Ensure, in coordination with HQDA, ODCSPER, application of IIFE requirements in system modification or material change actions (hardware and software).
- e. Ensure the inclusion of HFE Issues in operational testing, technical testing, and user testing and evaluations.
- 2-3. Deputy Chief of Staff for Personnel (DCSPER)

The DCSPER will-

- a. Exercise primary Army Staff responsibility for the HFE Program.
- b. Coordinate HFE policy with the Army Staff.
- c. Provide behavioral sciences and HFE research support to ensure the scientific basis for HFE. The DCSPER HFE research and development program is executed through the U.S. Army Human Engineering Laboratory (HEL), the Army's lead laboratory for HFE, an agency of the U.S. Army Laboratory Command (LABCOM).
- d. Review and monitor materiel objectives, requirements documents, SMMPs, acquisition plans, and other activities for major and Level I nonmajor programs for which DA has oversight to assure the proper application of HFE during system development. Ensure that HFE is addressed during front-end analysis activities and continuously throughout the material or software acquisition process.
- e. Encourage professional coordination and collaboration among human factors engineers, behavioral scientists, system safety engineers, logistics engineers, and biomedical scientists. f. Review HFEAs for Major and Level I nonmajor systems for which DA has oversight.
- g. Develop, coordinate, and disseminate HFE program policy and guidance to all Army commands and agencies.
- 2-4. Deputy Chief of Staff for Logistics (DCSLOG)

The DCSLOG will-

- a. Ensure HFE considerations and design criteria are integrated into the development of logistics systems (such as packaging, handling, storage, maintenance, transportation, and disposal).
- b. Ensure HFE program results are considered in the implementation of Integrated Logistic Support Policy for developmental, nondevelopmental, and materiel-changed systems.
- c. Ensure Integrated Logistic Support Plan (ILSP) development considers relevant HFE guidance and concerns in the SMMP.
- 2-5. Deputy Chief of Staff for Operations and Plans (DCSOPS)

The DCSOPS will-

a. Ensure application of HFE in combat developments during the

preparation of initial and subsequent requirements documents and in the review of the acquisition objectives for total system feasibility.

- b. Ensure inclusion of relevant IIFE data in establishing requirements for training devices for new equipment training, institutional training, and unit and joint-Service training.
- c. Ensure inclusion of HPE Issues in operational testing, technical testing, and user testing and evaluations.
- d. Ensure HFE is addressed by all special task forces (STFS) according to AR 71-9.
- e. Coordinate with DCSLOG IIFE requirements and changes affecting-
- (1) Logistical support policies.
- (2) Logistics systems development requirements.
- 2-6. Deputy Chief of Staff for Intelligence (DCSINT)

The DCSINT will-

- a. Establish policy and guidance to Integrate HFE considerations into the preparation of requirements documents and the development and acquisition of intelligence and security material systems.
- b. Ensure the application of IIFE methodologies, design, and performance requirements to intelligence and security material systems' development, modification, and acquisition.
- e. Ensure the inclusion of HFE in operational testing, technical testing, and user testing and evaluations.
- 2-7. The Surgeon General (TSG)

TSG will-

- a. Provide consultation and advice to the Army Staff and system developing agencies on the medical aspects of IIFE.
- b. Monitor throughout the materiel development and acquisition cycle the HFE application of biomedical and health standards.
- e-. Develop medical databases and needed health standards to support IHE application to Army systems.
- d. Perform appropriate medical RDTE tasks for nonmedical development and acquisition programs.
- e. Interface with the HFE Program by managing and instituting the policies and procedures specified for the Health Hazard Assessment Program in support of the material acquisition decision process (see AR 40-10).

Section II

Commanders of Major Army Commands

2-8. Commanding General, U.S. Army Materiel Command (CG, AMC)

The CG, AMC, will-

- a. Integrate HFE (including laputs from Army personnel, training, testing, medical, safety, and research activities) into the material research, developmental, nondevelopmental, material change, and acquisition programs.
- b. Include HFE human performance, work, design, and data requirements in solicitation packages.
- c. Develop, coordinate, and implement an HFE program, to include the preparation of design and performance specifications, standards, and procedures.
- d. Provide IIFE orientation for Program executive officers (PEOS) and program, project, or product managers (PMs).
- e. Ensure inclusion of HFE in technical testing, and technical evaluations and assessments.
- f. Ensure HFE-qualified specialists are assigned to material developmental, nondevelopmental, and material change programs.
- g. Improve the Army's capability for HFE management by supporting studies to improve HFE methodologies.
- h. Perform appropriate basic and applied III'E RDTE.
- i. Ensure coordination with Commanding General, U.S. Army Training and Doctrine Command (CG, TRADOC); and Commanding General, U.S. Army Operational Test and Evaluation Agency (CG, OTEA), to integrate 1HB test and evaluation requirements, objectives, issues, and criteria into the Test and Evaluation Master Plan (TEMP).
- J. Support TRADOC in developing HF Input to the SMMP and use it as a foundation for the formulation of operational and organizational (0&0) plans, required operational capabilities (ROCs), HFEAs, TEMPS, Requests for Proposal (RFP), and ILSP.
- k. Establish and maintain an HFE database in coordination with ODCSPER, DISC4, OTSG, and CG, TRADOC. This database should provide the capability for automated search and retrieval of existing HFE standards, guidelines, and collected soldier performance data as well as the generation of tailored performance specifications and verification checklists for system designers.
- 1. Ensure qualified HFE specialists participate in MJWGs, Test Integration Working Groups (TIWGs), and STF or special study groups (SSGS) to investigate HFE issues (see AR 71-9).
- m. Ensure HFE is an evaluation factor in the source selection evaluation plan.

- n. Ensure HFE specialists participate on Source Selection and Evaluation Boards (SSEBs).
- o. Initiate and fund, through the PEO or PM and program sponsors, requests for HFE and HFEA preparation for all nonmajor systems for which AMC has oversight.
- p. Provide to the PEOs or PMs the required HFE specialist sup-

port to carry out IIFE responsibilities.

- q. Ensure that HFE issues, concerns, and lessons learned are considered during the development and updating of SMMP.
- r. Provide HFE data to the U.S. Army Materiel Readiness Support Activity (MRSA) MANPRINT database.
- s. Provide HFE support to the combat and the training developer during development of concepts, studies, analyses, system requirements, and user tests and evaluations.
- t. Develop HFE approaches, methodologies, and models to be used to incorporate HFE into the acquisition process.
- ii. Ensure command program sponsors execute the HFE program responsibilities of a PM.
- v. Ensure trade-off analyses include human performance, workload, reliability, and maintainability considerations.
- 2-9. Commanding General, U.S. Army Training and Doctrine Command (CG, TRADOC)

The CG, TRADOC, will-

- a. Ensure HFE is considered and reported in the development of doctrinal, training, leader development, and material solutions provided for consideration during the CBRS process.
- b. Develop target audience descriptions for use by material developers and contractors as linguity to the HFE effort.
- c. Ensure HFE issues, concerns, and lessons learned are considered during the development and updating of the SMMP.
- d. Coordinate and provide information to the material developer for use in HFE programs during the material acquisition.
- e. Ensure requirements documents produced under AR 71-9, as well as specialized requirements documents used for computers or individual clothing and equipment, include adequate specification of human performance requirements (including minimum standards of soldier performance for critical operations, maintenance, and training tasks as well as the maximum tolerable training burden).
- f. Ensure Critical Operational Issues and Criteria (COIC), including

those for HFE, are provided to the material developer during the concept exploration phase.

- g. Ensure human performance issues and criteria are provided to operational testers and evaluators, that test results are collected and disseminated, and that post-fielding analysis is performed. (See AR 71-3.)
- h. Ensure human factors test and evaluation (HFTE) data are collected during user testing for which TRADOC is responsible and are made available for use by other activities for continuous evaluation.
- i. Ensure employment and doctrinal decisions that influence engineering design are analyzed for resource and human performance implications.
- j. Identify needs for HFE improvements in fielded systems from post-training effectiveness analyses.
- k. Ensure trade-off analyses include human performance workload, reliability, and maintainability considerations.

Section III

Heads of Other Army Elements

2-10. Commanding General, U.S. Army Operational Test and Evaluation Agency (CG, OTEA)

The CG, OTEA, will-

- a. Analyze and evaluate the human factors of system performance in user testing to include, but not limited to, simulated combat, or the operational setting, during initial operational test and evaluation (IOT&E) and follow-on operational test and evaluation (FOT&E).
- b. Determine how human performance contributed to a system failing to meet a critical operational criterion.
- c. Determine whether soldier performance measurements are sensitive to hardware and software design features, operating characteristics, or operational procedures.
- d. Identify soldier performance and other human factors problems that can be corrected by design changes to hardware and software.
- 2-1 1. Director of Army Safety (DASAF)

The DASAF will-

- a. Ensure HFE is considered in-
- (1) Establishing an overall system safety policy for developing, acquiring, or changing material systems.
- (2) Accident investigation and prevention programs.

- b. Integrate the consideration of relevant HFE outputs into system safety programs (see $\Delta R/385-16$).
- 2-12. Heads of other Army agencies and major commands assigned responsibilities for development of material items

The CG, U.S. Army Information Systems Command; CG, U.S. Army Modical Research and Development Command; CG, U.S. Army Strategic Defense Command; and Chief of Engineers will establish and fund IFE programs that incorporate the provisions of this regulation in their material acquisition and testing responsibilities.

Section IV

Program Executive Officers, Separate Program Managers, and Project or Product Managers

2-13. Program executive officers and separate program managers

The PEO and separate program manager (SPM) will-

- a. Include in PM charters the responsibility for defining and executing the HFE program.
- b. Monitor PM and contractor executions of IFE program requirements.
- c. Develop policy and procedures to ensure PMs obtain HFE and HFE assessments and make them available to requesting headquarters.
- 2-14. Project or product managers

The PM will-

- a. Ensure HFE program implementation on all systems including NDI and separately managed material change efforts from project inception to completion.
- b. Provide adequate support for effective HFE program implementation and maintenance. Include HFE program requirements in the Long-Range Research, Development, and Acquisition Plan (LRRDAP) process.
- c. Ensure HFE is identified as an integrating function for MANPRINT in the design process.
- d. Include HFE human performance, work, design, and data requirements in sollcitation packages.
- e. Brief IIFE status and issues during each review of a system.
- f. Monitor material system prime and subcontractors' accomplishment of HFE objectives and requirements as specified in the statement of work (SOW). Use information gathered in the development of system specifications and applicable military standards.
- g. Ensure assignment of qualified HFE specialists to material development and nondevelopmental programs.

- h. Ensure HFE specialists participate in MJWGs, TIWGs, and STFs or SSGs to ensure the investigation of HFE issues. (See AR 71-9)
- i. Ensure technical trade-off analyses include human performance, workload, reliability, and maintainability considerations.
- j. Ensure HFE is an evaluation factor in the source selection evaluation plan. Ensure qualified HFE specialists participate in SSEBS.
- k. Include HFE issues in the TEMP (not limited to technical testing (TT), first article testing (FAT), initial production testing (IPT), and production acceptance test and evaluation (PAT&E)).
- 1. Initiate, in coordination with other commands, and fund, the conduct of HFE and HFEAs on all Major Defense Acquisition Programs (MDAPs), Army Designated Acquisition Programs (ADAPs), and nonmajor programs. Permit no waiver of the HFEA requirement.
- m. Provide IIFE data to the MANPRINT database at the Materiel Readiness Support Activity (MRSA), ATTN: AMXMD-EL, Lexington, KY 40511-5101.

Chapter 3

HFE Program in Life Cycle System Management of Army Materiel

- 3-1, Introduction
- a. The IIFE Program begins prior to Milestone 0 by providing HFE information and analyses to TRADOC. HFE support continues throughout all phases of the life cycle regardless of the acquisition strategy selected.
- b. The focus of the HFE Program is to ensure operational effectiveness of soldier-materiel systems by integrating into materiel development and materiel acquisition all relevant information concerning-
- (1) Human characteristics.
- (2) Skill capabilities.
- (3) Human performance.
- (4) Anthropometric data.
- (5) System interface requirements.
- (6) Biomedical factors.
- (7) Safety factors.

- c. Human factors engineering assessments, conducted to determine compliance with operator, maintainer, and supporter capability requirements, are used as inputs to milestone decision reviews.
- d. Adequacy of system HFE is evaluated during both technical, operational, and user testing.
- 3-2. HPE in the preconcept exploration phase
- a. HFE information and analyses will be incorporated into the following:
- (1) HFE input to the Branch Planning Process for inclusion in CBRS products (that is, Battlefield development plan (BDP) and Army Modernization Memorandum).
- (2) Special studies under AR 5-5.
- (3) Early comparability analysis (ECA) support.
- (4) Safety mishap assessments.
- (5) STF and SSG. (See AR 71-9.)
- (6) HFE input to concepts and doctrine studies.
- (7) HFE support for Force Development Test.
- (8) Concept Evaluation Programs (CEPs).
- (9) HFE input to preliminary regulrements documents.
- b. HFE input to the SMMP will include the following:
- (1) HFE information and data from predecessor systems.
- (2) Identification of HFE issues, objectives, areas of concern, and questions to be resolved during system development.
- (3) HFE significant tasks to be accomplished from research and exploratory development through the first unit equipped.
- e. HFE Inputs to the system requirements documents; for example, O&O Plan or Mission Need Statement (MNS) will include-
- (1) Description of key soldier-material interface (SMI) requirements that may limit solutions to meet the need.
- (2) Description of key SMI characteristics that must be achieved to satisfy the need. These inputs become part of the "System Constraints" paragraph of both documents.
- d. HHE and human performance requirements and constraints identified in the O&O Plan will be included in the TEMP and described in terms of human performance standards and design requirements when developing test issues and criteria.

- e. HFE and human performance test issues and criteria will be coordinated among members of the TIWG.
- I. HFE investigations will be conducted to establish human performance SMI information needed in the preconcept exploration phase.
- 3-3. HFE in the concept exploration phase
- a. HFE data development and HFE application are critical to provide the basis for establishing system design and evaluation requirements.
- b. HFE data will be developed and applied during the concept exploration phase to-
- (1) Determine human performance and critical operator, maintainer, or supporter mission tasks.
- (2) Provide requisite HFE input to the requirements documents.
- (3) Provide requisite IIFE input to the four Concept Formulation

Package (CFP) analyses; for example, Cost and Operational Effectiveness Analysis (COEA). HFE input use should include-

- (a) Identifying inconsistencies between system performance requirements and human performance capabilities.
- (b) Recommending soldier-material interface characteristics necessary to correct inconsistencies identified in (a) above.
- (c) Providing results of HFE technical analyses and trade-offs.
- (4) Provide HFE input to solicitation packages, which will

include-

- (a) Developing RFP requirements for hardware or software prototypes, testbeds, conducting demonstrations, and experimentation.
- (b) Tailoring HFE human performance and detail design requirements to the concepts being explored.
- (c) Defining the human performance and detail design requirements for the SOW.
- (d) Defining the human performance and detail design requirements for the system specifications.
- (a) Identifying the required data items for the contract data requirements list (CDRL).
- (f) Providing contractor responsiveness to the HFE human performance RFP requirements criteria for the source selection (SS) process.
- e. HEE input to Market Analysis or Market Investigation will be used to-

- (1) Define HFE human performance and detail design issues and criteria that must be addressed during these activities.
- (2) Identify specific features required in the candidate system or item to correct known HFE human performance problems in its predecessor (that is, lessons learned).
- (3) Provide HFE human performance and detail design test issues and evaluation criteria to the TEMP and coordinate with the TIWG.
- d. HFF data will be integrated into technical and management plans to-
- (1) Provide requisite HFE input to the Acquisition strategy (AS) of the system concept paper (SCP), to include-
- (a) Discussing HFE human performance and detail design lessons learned from predecessor systems.
- (b) Summarizing plans to ensure that HFE human performance capabilities, limitations, and detail design criteria are considered throughout the design process.
- (2) Provide requisite HFE Technical Data Package (TDP) input to tailor military specifications, standards, and contract data items.
- (3) Provide requisite HFE input to the ILSP.
- e. HEE SMMP inputs will be updated.
- f. An HFEA will be conducted in support of the Milestone I Decision Review.

3-4. HFE in the concept demonstration and validation phase

- a. During this phase, HFE focuses on optimizing the SMI aspects of equipment or design.
- b. HFE equipment or design process inputs are-
- (1) Conducting trade-offs among those system characteristics that impact operator or maintainer performance parameters.
- (2) Including human performance, work, design, and data requirements in solicitation packages.
- (3) Participating in the SSEB.
- (4) Participating in post-contract award meetings to resolve any ambiguities remaining from the SSEB.
- (5) Reviewing engineering change proposals (ECPS) for HFE human performance implications.
- (6) Participating in contractor or Government design and program inprocess reviews (IPRS) to ensure that HFE human performance

requirements are addressed.

- (7) Reviewing contractor's HFE data item deliverables to identify unresolved HFE human performance or SMI issues and concerns requiring management attention.
- c. IIFE input to TT includes providing input to TT planning to update the HFE-human performance and detail design issues and criteria, and monitoring TT.
- d. HFE UT input updates HFE human performance issues.
- e. HFE inputs to the AS, ROC, TDP, H.SP, TEMP, and SMMP will be updated.
- f. An HFEA addressing each alternative proposed for full scale development (FSD) will be the HFE input to the Milestone 11 Decision Review.
- g. Monitoring contractor HFE activities will ensure that mission requirements are met with the soldier in the loop.
- h. Monitoring and reviewing contractor-design activities and HFE deliverables will ensure compliance to human performance and design criteria.
- i. HFE representatives will participate in MJWG and SMMP

update activities.

J. HFE representatives will conduct investigations to collect performance data

3-5. HFE in the full scale development phase

- a. During the full scale development phase, the system is fully developed, engineered, fabricated, tested, and documented. HFE emphasis focuses on optimizing the SMI aspects of equipment or system design.
- b. HFE equipment or system design process inputs are-
- (1) Including human performance, work, design, and data requirements in the solicitation package.
- (2) Participating in the SSEB.
- (3) Participating in the post-contract award meeting to resolve any ambiguities remaining from the SSEB.
- (4) Monitoring the contractor's HFE activities to ensure performance of contract-specified tasks.
- (5) Participating in technical interchanges between Government and contractor HFE specialists.

- (6) Reviewing ECPs for HFE human performance implications.
- (7) Participating in contractor or Government design and program
- IPRs to ensure that HFE human performance requirements are addressed.
- (8) Reviewing contractor's HFE data item deliverables to identify unresolved HFE human performance or SMI issues and concerns requiring management attention.
- c. HPE input to TT includes providing input to TT planning to apdate the HFE human performance and detail design issues and criteria and monitoring TT.
- d. HFE UT input updates HFE human performance issues and criteria for UT planning and UT monitoring.
- e. HFE inputs to the As, TDP, ILSP, TEMP, and SMMP will be updated.
- f. A system HFEA will be prepared for input to the Milestone III Decision Review.
- g. HFE representatives will participate in MJWG and SMMP update activities.
- h. HFE representatives will conduct investigations to collect performance data.
- 3-6. HFE in the full-rate production and initial deployment phase
- a. HFE program inputs will include-
- (1) Human performance, detail design requirements, and quality assurance provisions in the systems specification of the RFP.
- (2) Participating in the SSEB.
- b. FOT&E will assess HFE implications of any implemented material change proposals.
- c. HFE representatives will conduct HFE reviews of fielded systems to identify any HFE shortfalls or problems that impact effective system operation, maintenance, safety, or soldiers' health and resolve.
- d. HPE representatives will review engineering change proposals to ensure operational and HFE criteria are incorporated in design changes.

3-7. HFE in NDIs acquisition

- a. HFE human performance and detail design considerations influence NDI prior to program initiation. Market surveillance activities will gather HFE-relevant data for determining strategy and preparing solicitation documentation. HFE information will be a critical factor in the decision whether or not to pursue an NDI strategy.
- b. HER inputs to the NDI acquisition strategy include-

о, ти и прим со ще тъм ведамимой вивгеду тегаче-

- (1) Defining HFE human performance and detail design issues and criteria.
- (2) Summarizing plans to ensure that HFE is considered throughout the NDI acquisition process.
- e. Given an approved NDI strategy, HFE issues are inserted into the independent evaluation plan (IEP) for the Market Investigation. Critical HFE issues, questions, and concerns must be answered in the Independent Evaluation Report (See AR 70-10, para 3-4b for guidance on completing this report.) before a decision to continue an NDI program can be made.
- d. HFE will be a primary factor in selecting a specific NDI acquisition candidate.

3-8. HFE in materiel change management

- a. HFE is critical to all system material changes (both production and retrofit). Changes implemented through the life cycle management process will include HFE program activities outlined in paragraphs 3-4, 5-5, and 3-6. Changes implemented in other ways will ensure HFE is a primary factor.
- b. HFE field data will be collected during the sample data collecttion (SDC) effort.
- c. Discovered HFE human performance and detail design problems will be documented in a material change proposal (MCP). All MCPs affecting the SMI will be evaluated to determine if the proposed configuration change (hardware or software) adversely impacts existing operator or maintainer performance.
- d. HPE human performance data and HPE guidelines and criteria will be developed to support material change programs. Contractor's HPE activities will be monitored, HFE deliverables will be reviewed, and inprocess design reviews will be attended. HFE human performance issues and areas of concern will be assessed during the FOT&E.
- e. HHE issues are inserted into the IEP for the evaluation of the operational effectiveness and suitability of the material change. Critical HFE issues, questions, and concerns must be answered in the Independent Evaluation Report before a decision to continue a material change can be made.
- f. The System Improvement Plan (SIP) supporting documentation will include HFE considerations.
- g. Materiel change proposals will be evaluated for resulting IIIE impact.
- h. An HFEA will be prepared to support milestone decision reviews.

3-9. IIFE in the ILS process

a. IFE implications are considered in ILS management plans and procedures to integrate and acquire ILS elements.

- b. Logistics support analysis (LSA) documentation that supports ILS should provide data to HFE analyses and use results from them.
- c. DA Integrated Logistics Support Reviews (ILSR) will review and assess the status of each ILS elements' IIFE implications.

The director for MANPRINT will represent ODCSPER at major system and Designated Acquisition Program (DAP) system ILSRS. The appropriate Personnel Systems Staff Officer (PERSSO) will substitute for the director for IPR systems.

Appendix A

References

Section I

Required Publications

AR 5-5

Army Studies and Analyses (Cited in paras 1-4, 3-2.)

AR 40-10

Health Hazard Assessment Program in Support of the Army Materiel

Acquisition Decision Process. (Cited in para 2-7).

AR 70-8

Personnel Performance and Training Program (PPTP) (Cited in para 1-5.)

AR 71-3

User Testing (Cited in para 2-9.)

AR 71-9

Materiel Objectives and Requirements (Cited in paras 2-14, 2-5, 2-8, 2-9, and 3-2.)

AR 385-16

Systems Safety Engineering and Management (Cited in para 2-1 1.)

AR 602-2

Manpower and Personnel Integration (MANPRINT) in the Materiel Acquisition Process (Cited in paras 1-5, 1-6.)

AR 700-127

Integrated Logistic Support (ILS). (Cited in para 3-9.)

Section II

Related Publications

A related publication is merely a source of additional information. The user does not have to read it to understand this regulation.

AR 70-1

Systems Acquisition Policy and Procedures

AR 70-6

Management of the Army Research, Development, Test and Evaluation, Army Appropriation).

AR 70-10

Test and Evaluation During Development and Acquisition of Material

AR 70-15

Product Improvement of Materiel

AR 70-37

Configuration Management

AR 702-3

Army Materiel Systems Reliability, Availability, and Maintainability

MIL-HDBK-759

Human Factors Engineering Design for Army Materiel

MIL-STD-882B

System Safety Program Requirements

MIL-STD-1388-IA

Logistics Support Analysis

MIL-STD-1388-2A

Logistics Support Analysis Record

MIL-STD-1472

Human Engineering Design Criteria for Military Systems, Equipment

and Facilities

MIL-STD-1473

Standard General Requirements for Color and Marking of Army Materiel

MIL-STD-1474

Noise Limits for Army Materiel

MIL-H-46855

Human Engineering Requirements for Military Systems, Equipment and Facilities

DOD-HDBK-761

Human Engineering Guidelines for Management Information Systems

DOD-HDBK-763

Human Engineering Procedures Guide

DOD-STD-1477

Symbols for Army Air Defense Systems Displays

(Military Specifications, Standards, and Handbooks are available from the Commanding Officer, Naval Publications and Forms Center, 5801 Tabor Avenue, Philadelphia, PA 19120.)

Glossary DCSPER MACOM

Deputy Chief of Staff for Personnel major Army command

DISC4 MANPRINT

Director of Information Systems for Com-Manpower and Personnel Integration

mand, Control, Communications, and

Section I Computers MCP

Abbreviations material change proposal

ECA MDAP

ADAP early comparability analysis Major Defense Acquisition Program

Army-Designated Acquisition Program

ECP MJWG

ADEA engineering change proposal MANPRINT Joint Working Group

Army Development and Employment Agency

FAT MNS

AMC: first article test mission need statement

U.S. Army Materiel Command

FDTE MOE

AP force development test and experimentation measures of effectiveness

Acquisition plan FOA MPT

Field operating Agency manpower, personnel and training

AR

Army Regulation FOT&E MRSA

follow-on operational test and evaluation U.S. Army Materiel Readiness Support

ARI Activity

U.S. Army Research Institute FSD

full-scale development NDI

ARNG nondevelopmental item

Army National Guard HEL

U.S. Army Human Engineering Laboratory ODCSPER

AS Office of the Deputy Chief of Staff for

Acquisition strategy HFE Personnel

human factors engineering

ASARDA

Assistant Secretary of the Army for Research,

Development, and Acquisition

HFEA O&O

human factors engineering assessment operational and organizational

BDP HFTE OSUT

Battlefield development plan human factors test and evaluation onsite user test/testing

BTA HHA OTEA

best technical approach health hazard assessment U.S. Army Operational Test and Evaluation

CBRS HQDA Agency

Concept Based Requirements System Headquarters, Department of the Army OTSG

CDRL IEP Office of The Surgeon General

Contract data requirements list independent evaluation plan PAT&E

CEP ILS Production Acceptance Test and Evaluation

Concept Evaluation Program Integrated logistics support PEO

CFP ILSP program executive officer

Concept formulation package Integrated Logistic Support Plan PERSSO

CG ILSR personnel systems staff officer

commanding general Integrated Logistic Support Reviews PM

COEA IOT&E program, project, or product manager

cost and operational effectiveness analysis initial operational test and evaluation PM TRADE

COIC IPR program manager training devices

Critical Operational Issues and Criteria in-process review P31

DA IPT preplanned product improvement

Department of the Army initial production test/testing RDTE

DAP LABCOM research, development, test, and evaluation

Designated Acquisition Program U.S. Army Laboratory Command RFP

DASAF LCSMM request for proposal

Director of Army Safety life cycle system management model ROC

DCSINT LRRDAP required operational capability

Deputy Chief of Staff for Intelligence long-rang research, development, and SCP

DCSLOG acquisition plan system concept paper

Deputy Chief of Staff for Logistics LSA SDC

logistics support analysis sample data collection

DCSOPS

Deputy Chief of Staff for Operations and MAA SIP

Plans mission area analysis System Improvement Plan

SMMP

System MANPRINT Management Plan

SMI

soldier-materiel interface

sow

statement of work

SPM

separate program manager

SS

source selection

SSA

system safety analysis

SSEB

source selection and evaluation board

SSG

special study group

STF

special task force TAD target audience description TDP technical data package TDR training device requirement TEMP Test and Evaluation Master Plan TIWG Test Integration Working Group TOA trade-off analysis TOD trade-off determination TRADOC U.S. Army Training and Doctrine Command TSG The Surgeon General TSM TRADOC system manager TT technical test/testing USAR U.S. Army Reserve USASC U.S. Army Safety Center

 $\mathbf{U}\mathbf{T}$

user test/testing

Section II

Terms

Concept Based Requirements

System (CBRS)

The analytical process used by TRADOC to identify and prioritize Army warfighting requirements for doctrine, training, leader development, organizations, and materiel. TRADOC continuously works to consider historical perspective, to project threat, to understand technology, to describe our current projected capabilities by unit and time period, and to develop concepts. Assessment of our ability to fight as described in concepts leads to the identification of warfighting needs consisting of deficiencies, opportunities for improvement, and preplanned modernization needs. TRADOC also continuously identifies potential solutions to warfighting needs, assesses the solutions value-added to the force, and analyzes the cost-benefits of alternative solutions. The Army Modernization Memorandum (AMM) contains the prioritized listing of the Army's warfighting requirements (solutions).

Early comparability analysis (ECA)

A "lessons learned" approach to identify manpower, personnel, and training resource intensive tasks (high drivers) on current material that must be resolved in new or material-changed systems. Byproducts of the methodology are initial MPT constraints and input to the target audience description.

Human factors engineering (HFE)

The technical effort to integrate design criteria, psychological principles, and human capabilities as they relate to the design, development, test, and evaluation of systems. The HFE goals are (1) to maximize the ability of the soldier to perform at required levels by eliminating design-induced error, (2) to ensure material maintenance, support, and transport are compatible with the capabilities and limitations of the range of fully equipped soldiers who would be using such material. HFE provides an interface between the MANPRINT domains and system engineers. HFE supports the MANPRINT goal of developing equipment that will permit effective soldier-machine interaction within the allowable, established limits of training time, soldier aptitudes and skill, physical endurance, physiological tolerance limits, and soldier physical standards. HFE provides this support by determining the soldier's role in the material system, and by defining and developing soldier-material interface characteristics, workplace layout, and work environment.

Human factors engineering

assessment (HFEA)

An HFEA is a review of the status of HFE in an acquisition program. The HFEA's purpose is to influence and support the milestone decision review process by identifying (1) design flaws which, taken singularly or collectively, would warrant a decision not to transition to the next phase, or (2) HFE issues or concerns, not serious enough to preclude transitioning, which should be resolved to enhance system effectiveness. The HFEA scope addresses human performance and soldier-equipment interfaces, as they apply to the design of equipment, facilities, and procedures. An HFEA also includes an analysis of the impact of soldier performance on system reliability, effectiveness, operational suitability, and maintainability, providing supporting data exist. Further, the HFEA will address, as appropriate, the HFE issues identified in the current SMMP.

Manpower and Personnel Integration (MANPRINT)

A comprehensive management and technical program to enhance human performance and reliability in the operation, maintenance, and support of weapon systems and equipment. MANPRINT achieves this objective by integrating the full range of human factors engineering, manpower, personnel, training, health hazard assessment, and system safety considerations into the entire material development, acquisition, and change processes.

MANPRINT assessment

A MANPRINT assessment for a system integrates the results of all six domain (functional) assessments into a source document for input to the decision review process. Assessments will be conducted prior to milestone decision reviews on acquisition programs, including material change and nondevelopmental items. The MANPRINT assessment objective is to determine the status and adequacy of MANPRINT efforts in the material acquisition program and to present any unresolved MANPRINT issues or concerns to decision makers. The HIFEA and other pertinent information will be used to formulate the overall MANPRINT assessment. ODCSPER will be responsible for MANPRINT assessment preparation on all major defense as well as Army-managed acquisition programs. HQ, AMC; HQ, TRADOC; and applicable MACOMs will be responsible for preparing the MANPRINT assessment on all nonmajor acquisition programs.

MANPRINT Joint Working Group (MJWG)

The TRADOC proponent combat developer establishes the MJWG 3 to 6 months prior to O&O Plan submission. MJWG representation depends on available assets and the type of acquisition. As a minimum, MJWG includes representatives from HFE and the other MANPRINT domains plus the AMC MSC MANPRINT manager. The group manages all MANPRINT issues and provides oversight to ensure that MANPRINT plans are executed and objectives are met. HFE participates in the development and acquisition through the MJWG.

System MANPRINT Management Plan (SMMP)

The SMMP, a planning and management guide, is initiated by the combat or training developer when the MAA identifies battlefield

deficiency requiring development of new or improved material. The SMMP identifies tasks, analysis, trade-offs, and decisions that must be made to address MANPRINT issues during the material development and acquisition process. The SMMP will be updated as needed throughout the material acquisition process, providing a audit trail.

Target audience description (TAD)

The TAD is a description of the quantity, quality, and performance capabilities of the soldiers and civilians who are projected to operate, maintain, and support a specific future Army system. The TAD should describe the desired range of individual qualifications on all relevant physical, mental, physiological, biographical, and motivational dimensions, and link these characteristics to the performance of the system's operation, maintenance, and support tasks.

Index

This index is organized alphabetically by topic and by subtopic within a topic. Topics and subtopics are identified by paragraph number.

Abbreviations, 1-3

Acquisition process HFE program, 3-1 through 3-9

Acquisition Strategy (AS), 3-3, 3-4, 3-5 Army Materiel Command, 2-8, 2-9

Commands

Responsibilities for Human Factors Others, 2-12

U.S. Army Materiel Command (AMC), 2-8, 2-9

U.S. Army Operational Test and Evaluation Agency (OTEA), 2-8, 2-10

U.S. Army Training and Doctrine Command (TRADOC), 2-9 Concept Based Requirements System (CBRS), 1-4, 2-9, 3-2

Concept Exploration Phase, 2-9 Concept Formulation Package (CFP), 3-

Contract Data Requirements List (CDRL), 3-3

Cost and Operational Effectiveness Analysis (COEA) 3-3

Demonstration and Validation Phase, 3-4

Deputy Chief of Staff for Intelligence (DCSINT), 2-6

Deputy Chief of Staff for Logistics (DCSLOG), 2-4

Deputy Chief of Staff for Operations and Plans (DCSOPS), 2-5

Deputy Chief of Staff for Personnel (DCSPER)

Coordination, 2-3

Responsibilities, 2-3

Human Factors Engineering Assessment (HFEA) Review/Funding, 2-3

MANPRINT Assessment (MA), 2-3

Director, Information Systems for Command, Control, Communications and Computers (DISC4), 2-2

Follow-on Operational Test and Evaluation (FOTE), 3-6, 3-8

Full Scale Development (FSD), 3-4, 3-5

Funding

Human Factors Engineering Assessments (HFEAs), 2-8, 2-14

Heads of Other Army agencies, 2-12

HQDA Element Responsibilities

Assistant Secretary of the Army for Research, Development, and Acquisition (ASARDA), 2-1

Director, Information Systems for Command, Control, Communications, and Computers (DISC4), 2-2

Deputy Chief of Staff for Intelligence (DCSINT), 2-6

Deputy Chief of Stuff for Logistics (DCSLOG), 2-4

Deputy Chief of Staff for Operations and Plans (DCSOPS), 2-5

Deputy Chief of Staff for Personnel (DCSPER), 2-3

Office of The Surgeon General (OTSG), 2-7

Human Engineering Laboratory, 2-3, 2-8

Human Factors Engineering (HFE)

Battlefield Development Plan (BDP), 3-2

Concept Based Requirements System (CBRS), 1-4, 2-9, 3-2

Concept Fonnulation Package (CFP), 3-3

Contract Data Requirements List (CDRL), 3-3

Contractual Actions, 2-14

Cost and Operational Effectiveness Analysis (COEA), 3-3

Critical Issues, 3-8

Data Bases 1-4, 1-6, 2-7, 2-8, 2-9, 2-14

Definition-Appendix (Tenns) Demonstration and Validation Phase, 3-4

Design Guidellnes, 1-4

Design Influences, 1-5, 2-8, 2-9

Early Comparability Analysis (ECA), 3-2

Full Scale Development Phase, 3-5

Health Hazard Impacts, 1-1

Issues and Criteria, 2-8, 2-9, 3-2, 3-3, 3-5, 3-7

Lessons Learned, 2-8, 2-9, 3-3

Life Cycle, 3-1 through 3-9

Manpower and Personnel Integration (MANPRINT) Assessment (MA) Input, 1-4, 2-3

MANPRINT Domains, 1-5

MANPRINT Review (MR), 1-4

Manpower, Personnel and Training (MPT) Impacts, 1-1

Market Investigation (MI), 3-4

Materiel Acquisition Process (MAP) Input, 3-1 through 3-9

Materiel Change Management, 3-8

Measures of Effectiveness (MOE), 1-6

Medical Aspects, 2-7

Methodologies, 1-4, 2-2, 2-6, 2-8

Milestone Review Input, 3-1

Mission Needs Statement (MNS), 3-2

Nondevelopmental Item (NDI), 2-14, 3-7

Operational and Organizational (0&0)

Plan, 1-4, 2-8, 3-2

Preconcept Exploration Phase, 3-2 Production and Deployment Phase, 3-

u

Program 1-5

Program Application Verification, 2-1, 2-2

Request for Proposal (RFP), 2-8, 3-3

Requirement Documents, 2-9, 3-2

Responsibilities, 2-1 through 2-14

System MANPRINT Management Plan (SMMP), 1-6, 2-3, 2-4, 2-8, 2-9, 3-2, 3-3, 3-5

Soldier-Materiel Interface (SMI), 2-8, 2-14, 3-2, 3-5, 3-8

Source Selection, 3-3

Source Selection Evaluation Board (SSEB), 2-14, 2-8, 3-4, 3-5

Special Task Force/Special Study Group (STF/SSG), 2-8, 2-14

Statement of Work (SOW), 2-14, 3-3

Target Audience Description (TAD), 1-6

Test and Evaluation, 2-8

Test and Evaluation Master Plan (TEMP), 1-6, 2-8, 2-14, 3-2, 3-3, 3-4, 3-5

Test Integration Working Group (TIWG), 2-8, 2-14, 3-2, 3-3, 3-4

Training Devices, 2-5

Human Factors Engineering Assessment (HFEA)

Conduct, 1-4, 2-8, 3-3

Definition (Terms Appendix)

Funding, 2-8, 2-14

Inhtiation, 1-5, 2-8

Major Systems, 2-3

Materiel Change Management, 3-9

Nonmajor Systems, 2-3

Post-Milestone, 2-9

Pre-Milestone 1, 2-9, 3-3

Pre-Milestone 11, 3-4

Pre-Milestone 111, 3-5

Review, 2-3

Waiver Policy, 1-5, 2-14

Independent Evaluation Plan (IEP), 3-7, 3-8

Information Systems Command, 2-12

In-Process Review (IPR) Systems, 3-9

Integrated Logistics Support (ILS)/HI'E Interface, 3-9

Integrated Logistics Support Plan (ILSP), 2-4, 2-8, 3-3, 3-4, 3-5

Intelligence, 2-6

Life Cycle Management, 3-1 through 3-9

Long-Range Research, Development, and Acquisition Plan (LRRDAP), 2-14

Major Army Commands (MACOMs), 1-5

Major Programs

Human Factors Engineering Assessment (HFEA), 2-3

Manpower and Personnel Integration (MANPRINT) Assessment (MA), 1-4, 2-3

MANPRINT Data Base, 2-8, 2-14

MANPRINT Joint Working Group (MJWG), 2-8, 2-14, 3-5

MANPRINT Review, 1-5

Market Investigation (MI), 1-4, 3-3, 3-7

Materiel Change Management, 2-14, 3-9

Materiel Change Proposal (MCP), 3-9

Materiel Readiness Support Activity (MRSA), 2-8, 2-13

Nondevelopmental Item (NDI), 1-4, 2-14, 3-7

Normajor Systems, 2-3

Objectives 1-6 Operational and Organizational (O&O) Plan, 2-8, 3-2

Operational Test and Evaluation Agency (OTEA), 2-8, 2-10

Post Fielding Analysis, 2-9

Preconcept Phase, 3-1, 3-2

Product/Program/Project Manager (PM), 2-8, 2-13, 2-14

Production/Deployment, 3-6

Program Executive Officer (PEO), 2-8, 2-13

Request for Proposal (RFP), 2-8, 3-3, 3-6

Research, Development, Test and Evaluation (RDTE) Requirements, 2-1, 2-7, 2-8

Responsibilities 2-1 through 2-14

Sample Data Collection (SDC), 3-8

Soldier-Materiel Interface (SMI), 3-2, 3-4, 3-5, 3-8

Soldier Performance, 1-1, 1-6, 2-8, 2-9, 2-10

Source Selection, 2-8, 2-14, 3-3, 3-5, 3-6

Special Studies, 1-4

Statement of Work (SOW), 2-14, 3-3

System MANPRINT Management Plan (SMMP), 1-6, 2-3, 2-4, 2-8, 2-9, 3-2, 3-3, 3-4, 3-5, 3-6

Special Task Force/Special Study Group (STF/SSG), 2-8, 2-14, 2-10 System Concept Paper (SCP), 3-3

TAD, 1-6

Technical data package, 3-3

Tochnical test (TT), 2-14, 3-4, 3-5

TEMP, 2-8, 2-14, 3-2, 3-3, 3-4, 3-5

Test and evaluation, 2-8, 2-14

TIWG, 2-8, 2-14, 3-2, 3-3

TRADOC, 2-8, 2-9, 3-1

Training devices, 2-5

TSG, 2-7, 2-8

U.S. Army Human Engineering Laboratory (HEL), 2-3

U.S. Army Materiel Command, 2-8

U.S. Army Operational Test and Evaluation Agency (OTEA), 2-8, 2-10

User testing (UT), 3-4, 3-5



. Personnel Technologies (PERTE<u>C)</u> Homepage MANPRINT Homepage

MANPRINT Homepage
Copyright © 1998, 1999 U.S. Army.
This page last updated July 15, 1999 11:54 AM
All Rights Reserved.
By using this Web site you agree to these specific terms